

On the isometry group of left invariant Riemannian metric on Lie groups

Mohamed Boucetta
m.boucetta@uca.ma

Cadi-Ayyad University
Faculty of Sciences and Technology Marrakesh Morocco

Seminar Geometry, Topology and Applications
30 April 2016

Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. An isometry of (M, g) is a diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ such that $f^*g = g$.

Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. An isometry of (M, g) is a diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ such that $f^*g = g$.

We denote by $\text{Isom}(M, g)$ the group of isometries of (M, g) and $[\text{Isom}(M, g)]$, the identity component of $\text{Isom}(M, g)$

Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. An isometry of (M, g) is a diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ such that $f^*g = g$.

We denote by $\text{Isom}(M, g)$ the group of isometries of (M, g) and $[\text{Isom}(M, g)]$, the identity component of $\text{Isom}(M, g)$

Theorem.

If (M, g) is Riemannian and $f : M \rightarrow M$ is a surjective smooth map then f is an isometry if and only if f preserves the Riemannian distance.

Theorem. (S. B. Myers-N. Steenrod)

Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Then

- (a) The group $\text{Isom}(M, g)$ is a Lie group which acts effectively and differentiably on M .
- (b) For any $m \in M$, the isotropy subgroup

$$\text{Isom}_m(M, g) = \{f \in \text{Isom}(M, g), f(m) = m\}$$

is a closed subgroup of $\text{Isom}(M, g)$. Moreover, if we denote by

$$\rho : \text{Isom}_m(M, g) \longrightarrow \text{GL}(T_m M), \quad f \mapsto \rho(f) = T_m f,$$

then ρ define an isomorphism of $\text{Isom}_m(M, g)$ into a closed subgroup of $\text{O}(T_m M, g_m)$.

Corollary.

If (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold, $\text{Isom}_m(M, g)$ is compact. Moreover, if (M, g) is compact then $\text{Isom}(M, g)$ is compact

Remark.

- 1 *More generally, if (M, g) is Riemannian then $\text{Isom}(M, g)$ act properly on M .*
- 2 *Note that $\text{Isom}(M, g)$ may be compact (even trivial) even if (M, g) is not compact or non-Riemannian.*
- 3 *Note also that $\dim \text{Isom}(M, g) \leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ with equality only if (M, g) has constant sectional curvature.*
- 4 *If (M, g) is Riemannian and $n = \dim M \neq 0$ then $\text{Isom}(M, g)$ contains non closed subgroup of dimension r with*

$$\frac{1}{2}n(n-1) + 1 < r < \frac{1}{2}n(n+1).$$

The following theorem shows that there are deep relations between the curvature and the group of isometries.

Theorem. (S. Bochner.)

Let (M, g) be a compact manifold and ric its Ricci curvature. Then

- 1 *If for $u \neq 0$ $\text{ric}(u, u) < 0$ then $\text{Isom}(M, g)$ is finite.*
- 2 *If for u $\text{ric}(u, u) \leq 0$ then $\text{Isom}(M, g)$ is a torus.*
- 3 *If for u $\text{ric}(u, u) = 0$ then $\dim \text{Isom}(M, g) = \dim H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$.*

Background on Lie algebras and Lie groups

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called simple if \mathfrak{g} contains no proper ideal.

Background on Lie algebras and Lie groups

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called simple if \mathfrak{g} contains no proper ideal.

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called semi-simple if \mathfrak{g} is not abelian and contains no proper solvable ideal.

Background on Lie algebras and Lie groups

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called simple if \mathfrak{g} contains no proper ideal.

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called semi-simple if \mathfrak{g} is not abelian and contains no proper solvable ideal.

Theorem. (Folklore)

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1 \mathfrak{g} is a semi-simple.
- 2 \mathfrak{g} has a splitting $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_s$, where $\{\mathfrak{g}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_s\}$ is the set of all simple ideals of \mathfrak{g} .
- 3 The Killing form K of \mathfrak{g} is non-degenerate.^a

Moreover, the integer s appearing in the splitting above is an invariant of \mathfrak{g} .

^aRecall that K is given by $K(u, v) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_u \circ \text{ad}_v)$.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} . Then $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a subalgebra of $\text{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ the subgroup of $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ whose Lie algebra is $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} . Then $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a subalgebra of $\text{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ the subgroup of $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ whose Lie algebra is $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$.

The group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ of automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} is a closed subgroup of $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ and hence a Lie group. Its Lie algebra is the Lie algebra $\delta(\mathfrak{g})$ of the derivations of \mathfrak{g} .

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} . Then $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a subalgebra of $\text{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ the subgroup of $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ whose Lie algebra is $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$.

The group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ of automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} is a closed subgroup of $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ and hence a Lie group. Its Lie algebra is the Lie algebra $\delta(\mathfrak{g})$ of the derivations of \mathfrak{g} .

We have $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \delta(\mathfrak{g})$ and hence $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} . Then $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a subalgebra of $\text{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ the subgroup of $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ whose Lie algebra is $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$.

The group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ of automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} is a closed subgroup of $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ and hence a Lie group. Its Lie algebra is the Lie algebra $\delta(\mathfrak{g})$ of the derivations of \mathfrak{g} .

We have $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \delta(\mathfrak{g})$ and hence $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Definition.

- 1 A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called compact if $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$ is compact.
- 2 A Lie group is called simple (resp. semi-simple) if its Lie algebra is simple (resp. semi-simple).

Proposition.

If \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple then $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) = \delta(\mathfrak{g})$, i.e., every derivation is an inner derivation.

Proposition.

If \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple then $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) = \delta(\mathfrak{g})$, i.e., every derivation is an inner derivation.

Corollary.

For a semi-simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} , the adjoint group $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the identity component of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$. In particular, $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ is closed in $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proposition.

- (a) *Let \mathfrak{g} be a semi-simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} . Then \mathfrak{g} is compact if and only if the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} is strictly negative definite.*
- (b) *Every compact Lie algebra is the direct sum $\mathfrak{g} = Z(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, where $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is the center of \mathfrak{g} and the ideal $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is semi-simple compact.*
- (b) *If \mathfrak{g} is compact then $\mathfrak{g} = Z(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_s$ where \mathfrak{g}_i is simple compact.*

Proposition.

- (a) *Let \mathfrak{g} be a semi-simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} . Then \mathfrak{g} is compact if and only if the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} is strictly negative definite.*
- (b) *Every compact Lie algebra is the direct sum $\mathfrak{g} = Z(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, where $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is the center of \mathfrak{g} and the ideal $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is semi-simple compact.*
- (b) *If \mathfrak{g} is compact then $\mathfrak{g} = Z(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_s$ where \mathfrak{g}_i is simple compact.*

Corollary.

A Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} is compact if and only if there exists a compact Lie group G with the Lie algebra isomorphic to \mathfrak{g} .

Theorem.

Let G be a compact, connected semi-simple Lie group. Then the universal covering G^ of G is compact. In particular, a compact connected Lie group is semi-simple if and only if $\pi_1(G)$ is finite.*

Theorem.

Let G be a compact, connected semi-simple Lie group. Then the universal covering G^ of G is compact. In particular, a compact connected Lie group is semi-simple if and only if $\pi_1(G)$ is finite.*

Theorem.

Let G be a compact, connected semi-simple Lie group. Then $\pi_3(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^s$, where s is the cardinal of the set of the proper ideals in \mathfrak{g} .

Main result

Let G be a connected Lie group. For any $a \in G$, we denote by $L_a : G \rightarrow G$ and $R_a : G \rightarrow G$, respectively, the left translation and the right translation given by

$$L_a(b) = ab \quad \text{and} \quad R_a(b) = ba^{-1}.$$

Main result

Let G be a connected Lie group. For any $a \in G$, we denote by $L_a : G \rightarrow G$ and $R_a : G \rightarrow G$, respectively, the left translation and the right translation given by

$$L_a(b) = ab \quad \text{and} \quad R_a(b) = ba^{-1}.$$

$L(G)$ and $R(G)$ are groups of transformations of G which are isomorphic to G . Moreover,

$$L(G)R(G) = \{L_a \circ R_b, a, b \in G\}$$

is a Lie group isomorphic to $G \times G$.

Let g is a left invariant Riemannian metric on G . Then $\text{Isom}(G, g)$ contains $L(G)$. If it contains $R(G)$ then the metric is bi-invariant.

Let g is a left invariant Riemannian metric on G . Then $\text{Isom}(G, g)$ contains $L(G)$. If it contains $R(G)$ then the metric is bi-invariant. We state now the main result.

Theorem. (Math. Ann. 223, 91-96 (1976))

Let G be a compact, connected, simple Lie group and g a left invariant Riemannian metric on G . Then $[\text{Isom}(G, g)] \subset L(G)R(G)$.

Let g is a left invariant Riemannian metric on G . Then $\text{Isom}(G, g)$ contains $L(G)$. If it contains $R(G)$ then the metric is bi-invariant. We state now the main result.

Theorem. (Math. Ann. 223, 91-96 (1976))

Let G be a compact, connected, simple Lie group and g a left invariant Riemannian metric on G . Then $[\text{Isom}(G, g)] \subset L(G)R(G)$.

Remark.

We can derive from this theorem that if G is connected compact simple with a left invariant Riemannian metric of constant curvature then $G \equiv S^3$ or $\text{SO}(3)$.

Preparation of the proof of the theorem

Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and g a left invariant Riemannian metric on G . Since G is compact $[\text{Isom}(G, g)]$ is compact and connected.

Preparation of the proof of the theorem

Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and g a left invariant Riemannian metric on G . Since G is compact $[\text{Isom}(G, g)]$ is compact and connected. Let

$$H = \{f \in [\text{Isom}(G, g)], f(e) = e\}.$$

H is a compact subgroup of $[\text{Isom}(G, g)]$ and we have

$$[\text{Isom}(G, g)] = L(G)H \quad \text{and} \quad L(G) \cap H = \{e\}. \quad (1)$$

Preparation of the proof of the theorem

Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and g a left invariant Riemannian metric on G . Since G is compact $[\text{Isom}(G, g)]$ is compact and connected. Let

$$H = \{f \in [\text{Isom}(G, g)], f(e) = e\}.$$

H is a compact subgroup of $[\text{Isom}(G, g)]$ and we have

$$[\text{Isom}(G, g)] = L(G)H \quad \text{and} \quad L(G) \cap H = \{e\}. \quad (1)$$

This implies that $[\text{Isom}(G, g)]$ is diffeomorphic to a product manifold $L(G) \times H$ and H is connected.

If $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$ is contained in $L(G)R(G)$ then $L(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$. Moreover, each element of H has form $L_a \circ R_a$, i.e., H is contained in the inner automorphism group of G .

If $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$ is contained in $L(G)R(G)$ then $L(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$. Moreover, each element of H has form $L_a \circ R_a$, i.e., H is contained in the inner automorphism group of G . Conversely, assume H is contained in the inner automorphism group of G . If $f \in [\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$ then by (1), $f = L_a \circ h$ where $h \in H$. Or $h = L_b \circ R_b$ and hence $f = L_{ab} \circ R_b$. Thus $f \in L(G)R(G)$.

If $[\text{Isom}(G, g)]$ is contained in $L(G)R(G)$ then $L(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $[\text{Isom}(G, g)]$. Moreover, each element of H has form $L_a \circ R_a$, i.e., H is contained in the inner automorphism group of G . Conversely, assume H is contained in the inner automorphism group of G . If $f \in [\text{Isom}(G, g)]$ then by (1), $f = L_a \circ h$ where $h \in H$. Or $h = L_b \circ R_b$ and hence $f = L_{ab} \circ R_b$. Thus $f \in L(G)R(G)$.

Theorem.

- (a) *If $[\text{Isom}(G, g)]$ is contained in $L(G)R(G)$ then $L(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $[\text{Isom}(G, g)]$.*
- (b) *$[\text{Isom}(G, g)]$ is contained in $L(G)R(G)$ if and only if H is contained in the inner automorphism group of G .*

Actually, the converse of (b) is true if G is semi-simple.

Actually, the converse of (b) is true if G is semi-simple. Indeed, suppose that G is semi-simple and $L(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$. Let $h \in H$. For any $y \in G$, there exists $x \in G$ such that $h \circ L_y \circ h^{-1} = L_x$. This is equivalent to, $h(yz) = xh(y)$ for any $z \in G$.

Actually, the converse of (b) is true if G is semi-simple. Indeed, suppose that G is semi-simple and $L(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$. Let $h \in H$. For any $y \in G$, there exists $x \in G$ such that $h \circ L_y \circ h^{-1} = L_x$. This is equivalent to, $h(yz) = xh(y)$ for any $z \in G$. Thus $x = h(y)$ and hence h is an automorphism of G . So $H \subset \text{Aut}(G)$. But G is semi-simple and hence $\text{Aut}_0(G) = \text{Inner}(G)$.

Actually, the converse of (b) is true if G is semi-simple. Indeed, suppose that G is semi-simple and $L(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$. Let $h \in H$. For any $y \in G$, there exists $x \in G$ such that $h \circ L_y \circ h^{-1} = L_x$. This is equivalent to, $h(yz) = xh(y)$ for any $z \in G$. Thus $x = h(y)$ and hence h is an automorphism of G . So $H \subset \text{Aut}(G)$. But G is semi-simple and hence $\text{Aut}_0(G) = \text{Inner}(G)$.

Theorem.

Let (G, \mathfrak{g}) be a compact, connected semi-simple Lie group. Then $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$ is contained in $L(G)R(G)$ if and only if $L(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$.

Let (G, \mathfrak{g}) be a compact, connected simple Lie group. We have:

Let (G, \mathfrak{g}) be a compact, connected simple Lie group. We have:

- ① $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$ is a compact connected Lie group.

Let (G, \mathfrak{g}) be a compact, connected simple Lie group. We have:

- 1 $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$ is a compact connected Lie group.
- 2 $L(G)$ is a compact, connected simple Lie group contained in $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$.

Let (G, \mathfrak{g}) be a compact, connected simple Lie group. We have:

- 1 $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$ is a compact connected Lie group.
- 2 $L(G)$ is a compact, connected simple Lie group contained in $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$.
- 3 $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})] = L(G)H$ and $L(G) \cap H = \{e\}$ with H closed.

Let (G, \mathfrak{g}) be a compact, connected simple Lie group. We have:

- 1 $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$ is a compact connected Lie group.
- 2 $L(G)$ is a compact, connected simple Lie group contained in $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$.
- 3 $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})] = L(G)H$ and $L(G) \cap H = \{e\}$ with H closed.
- 4 H contains non normal subgroup of $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$ except $\{e\}$.

Let (G, \mathfrak{g}) be a compact, connected simple Lie group. We have:

- 1 $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$ is a compact connected Lie group.
- 2 $L(G)$ is a compact, connected simple Lie group contained in $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$.
- 3 $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})] = L(G)H$ and $L(G) \cap H = \{e\}$ with H closed.
- 4 H contains non normal subgroup of $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$ except $\{e\}$.
- 5 $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$ is contained in $L(G)R(G)$ if and only if $L(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $[\text{Isom}(G, \mathfrak{g})]$.

To prove our main theorem it suffices to prove the following theorem.

Theorem.

Let K be a compact connected Lie group. Let G and H be closed connected subgroups of K such that

(A) G is simple.

(B) $K = GH$ and $G \cap H = \{e\}$.

(C) H contains non normal subgroup of K except $\{e\}$.

Then G is a normal subgroup of K .

A fundamental Lemma

Lemma.

Let K be a compact connected Lie group. Let G and H be **closed proper** subgroups of K such that $K = GH$ and $G \cap H = \{e\}$. Then

- (a) G and H are connected.
- (b) K is semi-simple if and only if both G and H are semi-simple.
- (c) If K , G and H are semi-simple then $s(K) = s(G) + s(H)$.^a

^a $s(G)$ is the number of proper ideals contained in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G .

Proof.

From the assumption it follows that G and H are compact and K is diffeomorphic to $G \times H$. Hence G and H are connected and

$$\pi_i(K) = \pi_i(G) + \pi_i(H), \quad i = 1, \dots$$



Proof.

From the assumption it follows that G and H are compact and K is diffeomorphic to $G \times H$. Hence G and H are connected and

$$\pi_i(K) = \pi_i(G) + \pi_i(H), \quad i = 1, \dots$$

Then $\pi_1(K)$ is finite if and only if $\pi_1(G)$ and $\pi_1(H)$ are finite, which implies (b).



Proof.

From the assumption it follows that G and H are compact and K is diffeomorphic to $G \times H$. Hence G and H are connected and

$$\pi_i(K) = \pi_i(G) + \pi_i(H), \quad i = 1, \dots$$

Then $\pi_1(K)$ is finite if and only if $\pi_1(G)$ and $\pi_1(H)$ are finite, which implies (b). Now if K , G and H are semi-simple then

$$\mathbb{Z}^{s(K)} = \pi_3(K) = \pi_3(G) + \pi_3(H) = \mathbb{Z}^{s(G)} + \mathbb{Z}^{s(H)}$$

and hence $s(K) = s(G) + s(H)$ and (c) follows. □

Corollary.

If a real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ where \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} are compact semi-simple then \mathfrak{K} is compact semi-simple and $s(\mathfrak{K}) = s(\mathfrak{g}) + s(\mathfrak{h})$.

Corollary.

If a real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ where \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} are compact semi-simple then \mathfrak{K} is compact semi-simple and $s(\mathfrak{K}) = s(\mathfrak{g}) + s(\mathfrak{h})$.

Proof.

Let K be the simply connected Lie group of Lie algebra \mathfrak{K} , G and H the connected subgroups of K whose Lie algebras are \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} . Then G and H are compact and semi-simple. We have also $G \cap H = \{e\}$ and $K = GH$ and the corollary follows from the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 18

Denote by \mathfrak{K} , \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} , respectively, the Lie algebras of K , G and H . We have

- 1 \mathfrak{g} is simple,
- 2 $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$,
- 3 \mathfrak{h} contains no proper ideal of \mathfrak{K} except $\{0\}$.
- 4 $\mathfrak{K} = Z(\mathfrak{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{a}_s$, where \mathfrak{a}_i is simple compact.

To show the theorem, it suffices to show that \mathfrak{g} is an ideal of \mathfrak{K} .

Proof of Theorem 18

Denote by \mathfrak{K} , \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} , respectively, the Lie algebras of K , G and H . We have

- 1 \mathfrak{g} is simple,
- 2 $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$,
- 3 \mathfrak{h} contains no proper ideal of \mathfrak{K} except $\{0\}$.
- 4 $\mathfrak{K} = Z(\mathfrak{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{a}_s$, where \mathfrak{a}_i is simple compact.

To show the theorem, it suffices to show that \mathfrak{g} is an ideal of \mathfrak{K} .

To do so, we first prove the following: **If there exists i such that $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{a}_i$ then \mathfrak{g} is an ideal of \mathfrak{K} .**

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ and \mathfrak{a} is a simple ideal of \mathfrak{K} .

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ and \mathfrak{a} is a simple ideal of \mathfrak{K} . The connected Lie subgroup A of K corresponding to \mathfrak{a} is **compact** and simple.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ and \mathfrak{a} is a simple ideal of \mathfrak{K} . The connected Lie subgroup A of K corresponding to \mathfrak{a} is **compact** and simple. G is closed subgroup of A and $H' = A \cap H$ is compact. From the assumption, we have $A = GH'$, $G \cap H' = \{e\}$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ and \mathfrak{a} is a simple ideal of \mathfrak{K} . The connected Lie subgroup A of K corresponding to \mathfrak{a} is **compact** and simple. G is closed subgroup of A and $H' = A \cap H$ is compact. From the assumption, we have $A = GH'$, $G \cap H' = \{e\}$. Suppose that G is proper subgroup of A . Then H' is also proper subgroup of A . Then from the fundamental lemma we have $s(A) = s(G) + s(H')$. Or $s(G) = S(A) = 1$ and hence $s(H') = 0$, which is a contradiction, so $A = G$.

To complete the proof, we suppose that for any $i = 1, \dots, s$ \mathfrak{g} is not contained in \mathfrak{a}_i and deduce a contradiction.

To complete the proof, we suppose that for any $i = 1, \dots, s$ \mathfrak{g} is not contained in \mathfrak{a}_i and deduce a contradiction.

Denote by $p_i : \mathfrak{K} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}_i$ the projection with respect to the splitting

$$\mathfrak{K} = Z(\mathfrak{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{a}_s, \quad Z(\mathfrak{K}) = \mathfrak{a}_0.$$

To complete the proof, we suppose that for any $i = 1, \dots, s$ \mathfrak{g} is not contained in \mathfrak{a}_i and deduce a contradiction.

Denote by $p_i : \mathfrak{K} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}_i$ the projection with respect to the splitting

$$\mathfrak{K} = Z(\mathfrak{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{a}_s, \quad Z(\mathfrak{K}) = \mathfrak{a}_0.$$

For any $i = 0, \dots, s$, p_i is a Lie algebra homomorphism and hence $\mathfrak{g} \cap \ker p_i$ is an ideal in \mathfrak{g} and hence $\mathfrak{g} \cap \ker p_i = \{0\}$ or $\mathfrak{g} \cap \ker p_i = \mathfrak{g}$. Since $\mathfrak{g} \neq \{0\}$ then there exist i_0 such that $\mathfrak{g} \cap \ker p_{i_0} \neq \mathfrak{g}$ and hence $\mathfrak{g} \cap \ker p_{i_0} = \{0\}$. Suppose that $i_0 = 0$. Then $p_0(\mathfrak{g})$ is abelian and isomorphic to \mathfrak{g} which is impossible. So $i_0 \neq 0$. Put $i_0 = 1$.

We have $\mathfrak{g} \cap \ker p_1 = \{0\}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{a}_1$ is an ideal in \mathfrak{g} and since \mathfrak{g} is not contained in \mathfrak{a}_1 then $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{a}_1 = \{0\}$. This implies

$$\mathfrak{g} \cap p_1(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}. \quad (2)$$